



Towards velocity independent collapsing of diffractions

Early stage concepts and approximations

Kris Innanen

M-OSRP Annual Meeting, June 5-7, 2007

Annual Report pgs. 279-303

Key Points

Liu et al., (2005-2007) present a 2D algorithm for non-linear inverse scattering series imaging that addresses imaging issues that have a ID analog

Concurrent to this, we continue to attempt more complete treatment of multidimensional phenomena in wave data; diffractions are an important example

Investigate approaches, approximations, and trade-offs leading to (1) direct, non-linear imaging methods which collapse diffractions, and potentially (2) the ability to retain closed-forms

Distinct in reflected data in the presence of a discontinuity, i.e., here





Distinct in reflected data in the presence of a discontinuity, i.e., but not here

$\bigstar \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare \blacksquare$



Consider the point scatterer as a basic model for making a diffraction.

 $A\delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}_A)$

Numerically straightforward to examine:



Additionally permits an approximate but useful math model. Born approximation of the response of a single point scatterer embedded in a homogeneous wholespace:

$$D(k_g, z_g, k_s, z_s, \omega) \approx \int dx' \int dz' G_0(k_g, z_g, x', z', \omega) \omega^2 / c_0^2 A\delta(x' - x_A, z' - z_A) G_0(x', z', k_s, z_s, \omega)$$

or

$$D(k_g, z_g, k_s, z_s, \omega) \approx -A \frac{k^2}{4q_g q_s} e^{-iq_g(z_g - z_A)} e^{-iq_s(z_s - z_A)}$$

where
$$q_g = \frac{\omega}{c_0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{k_g^2 c_0^2}{\omega^2}}$$
 and $q_s = \frac{\omega}{c_0} \sqrt{1 - \frac{k_s^2 c_0^2}{\omega^2}}$

Questions

Suppose the actual medium and the reference medium differ significantly? How does the forward scattering series act to construct diffracted primaries for large, sustained perturbations?

How do we translate any such insight into a capture of an inverse scattering series non-linear, direct processing procedure with the wherewithal to collapse diffractions?

What are the opportunities for, and costs of, retaining closed-forms?

For perturbations of arbitrary heterogeneity, the separate construction of individual events can be approximately carried out.

For instance, a proposed approximation of the wave transmitting directly through an extended 3D perturbation reduces to the eikonal approximation when the perturbation varies in ID only.

The fully 2D and 3D versions of such event approximations require a series.

A proposed compromise (MOSRP06): suppose the perturbation to consist of a small transient 2D part and a large, sustained ID part:

$$\alpha(x,z) = 1 - \frac{c_0^2}{c^2(x,z)}$$

A proposed compromise (MOSRP06): suppose the perturbation to consist of a small transient 2D part and a large, sustained ID part:

 $\alpha(x,z) = A(z) + B(x,z)$

large, sustained small, transient

Schematically, such perturbations act within the series like this:

$$A+B$$

$$A^2 + AB + B^2$$

$$A^3 + A^2B + AB^2 + B^3$$

$$A^4 + A^3B + A^2B^2 + AB^3 + B^4$$

In the fully ID case, the leftmost column speaks of A acting on itself nonlinearly: $A + A^2 + A^3 + ... = (I + A + A^2 + ...)A$... closed forms arise.

By extracting the second column, can we (1) approximate 2D wave phenomena while (2) maintaining ID closed-forms?

A program for approximating diffractive primaries:

- I. Set up forward scattering series for a fully 2D scalar medium
- 2. Divide perturbation into A and B parts.
- 3. Retain terms which, by argument from scattering geometry, contribute to primaries only.
- 4. Retain terms linear in B(x,z) and non-linear in A(z).

Use homogeneous acoustic reference medium with wavespeed c_0 , with associated analytic Green's functions and the previously defined perturbation...

First few terms:

$$R_1^P(k_g, z_g, k_s, z_s, \omega) = -\frac{e^{-iq_g z_g - iq_s z_s}}{4} \frac{k^2}{q_g q_s} \int dz' e^{i(q_g + q_s)z'} \alpha(k_g - k_s, z')$$

$$R_{2}^{P}(k_{g}, z_{g}, k_{s}, z_{s}, \omega) = -\frac{e^{-iq_{g}z_{g} - iq_{s}z_{s}}}{4} \frac{k^{2}}{q_{g}q_{s}} \int dz' e^{i(q_{g} + q_{s})z'} \left(\frac{-iq_{g}}{2\cos^{2}\theta_{g}} \int_{z_{g}}^{z'} A(z'')dz''\right) \alpha(k_{g} - k_{s}, z')$$
$$-\frac{e^{-iq_{g}z_{g} - iq_{s}z_{s}}}{4} \frac{k^{2}}{q_{g}q_{s}} \int dz' e^{i(q_{g} + q_{s})z'} \alpha(k_{g} - k_{s}, z') \left(\frac{-iq_{s}}{2\cos^{2}\theta_{s}} \int_{z_{s}}^{z'} A(z'')dz''\right)$$

Summing:

$$R^{P}(k_{g}, z_{g}, k_{s}, z_{s}, \omega) = \int dz' \frac{e^{iq_{g}\left[z'-z_{g}-\frac{1}{2\cos^{2}\theta_{g}}\int_{z_{g}}^{z'}A(z'')dz''\right]}}{i2q_{g}}k^{2}\alpha(k_{g}-k_{s}, z')\frac{e^{iq_{g}\left[z'-z_{s}-\frac{1}{2\cos^{2}\theta_{s}}\int_{z_{s}}^{z'}A(z'')dz''\right]}}{i2q_{s}}$$

Numerically appears to correctly incorporate a depth-dependent overburden in the perturbation:



Numerically appears to correctly incorporate a depth-dependent overburden in the perturbation:



A 1.5D inverse series approach

Using a forward series approximation of primaries as a framework to launch to inverse methods

We can constrain the FSS in 1.5D to create approximations of primaries, and invert these approximations order-by-order (SEG 2005):

$$D_P(k_s, q_s, \theta) = -\frac{e^{ik_s x_g}}{4\cos^2 \theta} \int dz' e^{i2q_s z'} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n^+ \left[\alpha(z) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^{k-1}} \int_0^z dz' \alpha^k(z') \right)^n \right]^{(n)} \right\}$$

$$\alpha_L(z,\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1/2)^n}{n! \cos^{2n} \theta} \left[\alpha_1(z,\theta) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^{k-1}} \int_0^z dz' \alpha_1^k(z',\theta) \right)^n \right]^{(n)}$$

A 1.5D inverse series approach

$$D_P(k_s, q_s, \theta) = -\frac{e^{ik_s x_g}}{4\cos^2\theta} \int dz' e^{i2q_s z'} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n^+ \left[\alpha(z) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^{k-1}} \int_0^z dz' \alpha^k(z') \right)^n \right]^{(n)} \right\}$$



A 1.5D inverse series approach

$$\alpha_L(z,\theta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1/2)^n}{n! \cos^{2n} \theta} \left[\alpha_1(z,\theta) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^{k-1}} \int_0^z dz' \alpha_1^k(z',\theta) \right)^n \right]^{(n)}$$



Approach

I.5D forward scattering approximation of primaries linked to I.5D non-linear series for target location and amplitude.

Link multidimensional forward scattering series approximations of primaries to non-linear subseries for target location and amplitude?

In particular at this stage, do so for the approximate form that has permitted closed-forms to be retained?

We have the series for primaries diffracting from small, transient 2D target perturbation embedded within a large, sustained ID overburden perturbation. Expand:

$$\begin{split} D(k_g, z_g, k_s, z_s, \omega) &= -\frac{e^{-iq_g z_g - iq_s z_s}}{4} \frac{k^2}{q_g q_s} \int dz' e^{i(q_g + q_s)z'} \alpha(k_g - k_s, z') \\ &\times \left[1 + \left(\frac{-iq_g}{2\cos^2 \theta_g} \int_{z_g}^{z'} A(z'') dz'' \right) + \left(\frac{-iq_s}{2\cos^2 \theta_s} \int_{z_s}^{z'} A(z'') dz'' \right) \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{-iq_g}{2\cos^2 \theta_g} \int_{z_g}^{z'} A(z'') dz'' \right)^2 + \left(\frac{-iq_g}{2\cos^2 \theta_g} \int_{z_g}^{z'} A(z'') dz'' \right) \left(\frac{-iq_s}{2\cos^2 \theta_s} \int_{z_s}^{z'} A(z'') dz'' \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{-iq_s}{2\cos^2 \theta_s} \int_{z_s}^{z'} A(z'') dz'' \right)^2 + \dots \right] \end{split}$$

Setting the perturbation equal to a series in orders of the primary data, we equate like orders in the normal fashion.

The linear relationship gives rise to the linear input to all higher order terms (Liu, this meeting):

$$\alpha_1(k_m, k_z | k_h) = -4c_0^2 e^{iq_g z_g + iq_s z_s} \frac{q_g q_s}{\omega^2(k_m, k_h, k_z)} D(k_m, k_h, k_z)$$

...which is then inverse Fourier transformed to the z, k_m , domain, and treated for fixed values of k_h .

Expressing the higher order terms as operations on the linear term, and summing several of them produces:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n+1}(k_m, k_z | k_h) = \int dz' e^{i(q_g + q_s)z'} \alpha_1(k_m, z' | k_h) \left[\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} \left(\frac{iq_g}{2\cos^2\theta_g} \int_{z_g}^{z'} \alpha_1(k_m, z'' | k_h) dz'' \right)^l \right] \\ \times \left[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m!} \left(\frac{iq_s}{2\cos^2\theta_s} \int_{z_s}^{z'} \alpha_1(k_m, z'' | k_h) dz'' \right)^m \right]$$

Calling the sum on the LHS the output, and summing the two series on the RHS (which follow patterns similar to the 1.5D case):

$$\alpha_{II}(k_m, k_z | k_h) = \int dz' e^{iq_g \left[z' + Z(z_g, z', \theta_g, \alpha_1) \right]} \alpha_1(k_m, z' | k_h) e^{iq_s \left[z' + Z(z_s, z', \theta_s, \alpha_1) \right]}$$

where

$$Z(z, z', \theta, \alpha_1) = \frac{1}{2\cos^2\theta} \int_{z}^{z'} \frac{\alpha_1(k_m, z''|k_h)}{1 - 0.25\alpha_1(k_m, z''|k_h)} dz''$$

Plan

Synthetic testing

Dovetail with Liu's expertise in fast/accurate linear inversion

Parametrization: k_h? p_h?

Extension to the 2D overburden case

closed forms no longer likely

Connection to non ID-analog terms at second order (Liu, 2006)

Key Points

Liu et al., (2005-2007) present a 2D algorithm for non-linear inverse scattering series imaging that addresses imaging issues that have a ID analog

Concurrent to this, we continue to attempt more complete treatment of multidimensional phenomena in wave data; diffractions are an important example

Investigate approaches, approximations, and trade-offs leading to (1) direct, non-linear imaging methods which collapse diffractions, and potentially (2) the ability to retain closed-forms

Acknowledgments





Anadarko Petroleum Corporation

bhpbilliton

bhpbilliton



bp



Chevron









ConocoPhillips

devon

Eni

ExxonMobil





Geotrace

G×Technology





IBM International Business Machines Corporation

Acknowledgments





United States Department of Energy Basic Energy Sciences



National Science Foundation Collaboration in Mathematical Geosciences