



#### Annual Technical Review and Meeting

Arthur B. Weglein

May 27-30, 2014 Austin, TX

- 32

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)



#### Seismic E&P challenges

Methods make assumptions; when they are satisfied the methods are effective and when they are not satisfied the methods have difficulty and/or fail – challenges arise from that breakdown or failure.

#### Seismic E&P challenges

- Among assumptions:
  - acquisition
  - compute power
  - innate algorithmic assumptions/requirements

#### How to address challenges?

#### Two ways:

- Remove the assumption violation by satisfying the assumptions; or
- Avoid the assumption violation by deriving methods that do not make those assumptions.

Either of these is reasonable and indicated under different circumstances.

We recognize the value in each type of response and adopt distinctly different attitudes for different issues:

- 1. Help improve requirements *e.q.*, recorded data collection/extrapolation.
  - we cannot (yet) develop a method that avoids this requirement for seismic data.
  - hence, we seek to help satisfy that requirement.

M-OSRP takes ownership and responsibility for the entire processing chain.

One way to understand the projects within the M-OSRP program is to consider the processing chain.

#### Innate algorithmic assumptions

- Many processing methods require subsurface information
- In complex and ill-defined areas that requirement can be difficult or impossible to satisfy
- The inability to satisfy that requirement can lead to algorithmic failure and dry hole drilling





Figure 1: Classification of marine events and how they are processed.

(日) (同) (三) (三)





Tutorial on Green's theorem for source signature, deghosting, one-way migration, and RTM

Arthur B. Weglein

May 27-30, 2014 Austin, TX

3

(日) (周) (三) (三)

()

#### Green's theorem tutorial

To start with preprocessing, we present a tutorial on the different projects within M-OSRP that derive from Green's theorem

#### Green's theorem-derived methods

Wavefield separation: no subsurface information required

- Predicting the reference wave and the scattered wavefield (the reflection data) from the total wavefield
- Predicting the source signature and radiation pattern
- Source and receiver deghosting

# Green's theorem-derived methods (continued)

Wavefield prediction for migration: subsurface information required

- Wave equation migration for one way waves
- Wave equation migration for two way waves (RTM)

- 4 同 6 4 日 6 4 日 6

Green's theorem and seismic processing (wave separation or wave prediction)

By way of illustration, consider an inhomogeneous acoustic medium

$$\left[\nabla^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{c^2(\vec{r})}\right] P(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_s, \omega) = A(\omega)\delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_s) \qquad (1)$$

Characterize the velocity field in terms of a reference,  $c_0$ , and a perturbation,  $\alpha(\vec{r})$ .

$$\frac{1}{c^2(\vec{r})} = \frac{1}{c_0^2} (1 - \alpha(\vec{r}))$$

$$k = \frac{\omega}{c_0}$$
(2)

May 27-30, 2014

15

Green's theorem and seismic processing (wave separation or wave prediction)

$$\left[\nabla^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{c_0^2}\right] P(\vec{r}, \vec{r}_s, \omega) = k^2 \alpha(\vec{r}) P + A(\omega) \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_s) \quad (3)$$

Define  $\rho(\vec{r}, \omega) \equiv k^2 \alpha(\vec{r}) P + A(\omega) \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}_s)$  and equation 2 becomes

$$\left(
abla^2 + rac{\omega^2}{c_0^2}
ight)P = 
ho(\vec{r},\omega)$$
 (4)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Introduce

$$(\nabla^2 + k^2)G_0 = \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}')$$
 (5)

Equation 4 can be solved in terms of the solution of equation 5

$$P(\vec{r},\omega) = \int_{\infty} d\vec{r}' \rho(\vec{r}',\omega) G_0^+(\vec{r},\vec{r}',\omega)$$
(6)

 $ec{r}$  in  $\infty$  (anywhere)

Green's second identity

$$\int_{V} d\vec{r}' (P\nabla^2 G_0 - G_0 \nabla^2 P) = \oint_{S} dS \,\hat{n} \cdot (P\nabla G_0 - G_0 \nabla P)$$
(7)

Substituting  $\nabla^2 P$  and  $\nabla^2 G_0$  from equations 4 and 5 in equation 7

$$P(\vec{r},\omega) = \int_{V} d\vec{r}' \rho G_0 + \oint_{S} dS \,\hat{n} \cdot (P \nabla G_0 - G_0 \nabla P) \quad (8)$$

Valid for any choice  $G_0$  that satisfies equation 5.

- Different <u>choices</u> of solutions for  $G_0$  will derive each of the Green's theorem applications we listed
- If we choose  $G_0 = G_0^+$  then equation 8 becomes

$$P_{\vec{r} \text{ in } V} = \int_{V} d\vec{r}' \rho G_{0}^{+} + \oint_{S} dS \, \hat{n} \cdot (P \nabla G_{0}^{+} - G_{0}^{+} \nabla P)$$
(9)

Equation 6 can be written

$$P_{\text{for any } \vec{r}} = \int_{V} d\vec{r}' \rho G_{0}^{+} + \int_{\infty - V} d\vec{r}' \rho G_{0}^{+} \qquad (10)$$

Image: A match a ma

Comparing equations 9 and 10 we have for  $\vec{r}$  in the volume

$$\oint_{S} dS \,\hat{n} \cdot \left(P \nabla G_0^+ - G_0^+ \nabla P\right) = \int_{\infty - V} d\vec{r}' \rho G_0^+ \quad (11)$$

Therefore for  $\vec{r}$  in the volume  $\oint_S dS \ \hat{n} \cdot (P \nabla G_0^+ - G_0^+ \nabla P)$  is the portion of the wavefield at a point  $\vec{r}$  in the volume, V due to the sources outside V.

The reference medium is arbitrary. If we choose



as the reference medium then 2 "<u>sources</u>," <u>airguns</u> and <u>earth</u>.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト





 $\int dS \,\hat{n} \cdot (P \nabla G_0^+ - G_0^+ \nabla P)$  when evaluated at  $\vec{r}$  in a volume shown below provides the portion of P due to sources outside the volume, i.e., due only to sources above the cable



$$\int_{\infty-V} d\vec{r}' \rho_{\text{airguns}}(\vec{r}',\omega) G_0^+ = P_0(\vec{r},\omega)$$

 $G_0 = G_0^d + G_0^{FS}$  the wavefield due to the airguns in the reference medium

$$P_0(\vec{r},\omega) = \oint_S dS \,\hat{n} \cdot (P \nabla G_0^+ - G_0^+ \nabla P)$$

The source signature and radiation pattern of the wave that leaves the source.

May 27-30, 2014

26 / 39

If 
$$P_0(\vec{r},\omega) = A(\omega)G_0^+(\vec{r},\vec{r}_s,\omega)$$
 then  
$$A(\omega) = \frac{\oint_S dS \ \hat{n} \cdot (P\nabla G_0^+ - G_0^+\nabla P)}{G_0^+(\vec{r},\vec{r}_s,\omega)}$$

(source signature estimation and radiation pattern)

- **∢ /⊒ ▶ ∢ 글 ▶ ∢** 



Figure 2: Primary and its ghosts. Solid line: Primary; Dotted line: Source ghost of primary; Dashed-dot line: Receiver ghost of primary; Dashed line: Source-receiver ghost of primary (Zhang, 2007, Fig. 1.2).

May 27-30, 2014

28 / 39

For deghosting, choose a different reference medium — choose a homogeneous whole space of water. Now we have <u>three</u> sources.



 $\vec{r}$  is lower than the airguns.  $G_0 = \exp(ikR)/R = G_0^{d+1}$ 

May 27-30, 2014

29 / 39

#### Then

$$\int_{V} d\vec{r}' \rho_{\text{earth}} G_0^{d+} = \oint_{S} dS \, \hat{n} \cdot (P \nabla G_0^+ - G_0^+ \nabla P)$$

 $\int \rho_{\text{air}} G_0^{d+} \text{ is downgoing} \\ \int \rho_{\text{airguns}} G_0^{d+} \text{ is downgoing} \\ \int \rho_{\text{earth}} G_0^{d+} \text{ is upgoing}$ 

Therefore

$$\int 
ho_{ ext{earth}} G_0^{d+} = \oint dS \ \hat{n} \cdot (P 
abla G_0^+ - G_0^+ 
abla P)$$

is the receiver deghosted data for  $\vec{r}$  above the cable and at a depth below (deeper than) the sources This requires P and dP/dn along the cable for a given shot record.

If you don't have P' along the cable — then for an isotropic point source, and known wavelet, plus P along the cable you can predict P and P' (at a new cable above the actual one) from equation 8

$$P = A(\omega)G_0^{DD} + \int P \frac{\partial G_0^{DD}}{\partial z'} dx$$
$$\frac{dP}{dz} = A(\omega)\frac{\partial G_0^{DD}}{\partial z} + \int P \frac{\partial^2 G_0^{DD}}{\partial z \partial z'} dx$$

where  $G_0^{DD} = 0$  at the free surface and at the cable.

## Summary

#### Deghosting: Green's theorem with P and P' at one depth

$$P = A \exp(ikz) + B \exp(-ikz)$$

$$P(0) = A + B$$

$$P'(0) = ik(A - B)$$

$$ikP(0) + P'(0) = 2ikA$$

$$A = \frac{P'(0) + ikP(0)}{2ik}$$

$$B = \frac{P'(0) - ikP(0)}{2ik}$$

stable

For measurements at two depths

$$P(0) = A + B$$

$$P(a) = A \exp(ika) + B \exp(-ika)$$

$$P(0) \exp(-ika) - P(a) = A \exp(-ika) - A \exp(ika)$$

$$A = \frac{P(0) \exp(-ika) - P(a)}{\exp(-ika) - \exp(ika)}$$

$$B = \frac{P(0) \exp(ika) - P(a)}{\exp(ika) - \exp(-ika)}$$

()

#### Sensitive near notches

If interest is away from notches — one-source experiments will be fine for source and receiver deghosting

If interest includes the notches — two-source experiment will provide more stability for source-side deghosting

- Depends on band width and depth of sources and receivers
- If your sources and receivers are at the ocean bottom, notches come up early and double sources would be indicated for source deghosting

$$P_{R}'(\vec{r}_{g}',\vec{r}_{s},\omega) = \int_{\text{m.s.}} dS \,\vec{\hat{n}} \cdot$$
(12)  

$$[P(\vec{r},\vec{r}_{s},\omega)\nabla G_{0}^{+}(\vec{r},\vec{r}_{g}',\omega) - G_{0}^{+}(\vec{r},\vec{r}_{g}',\omega)\nabla P(\vec{r},\vec{r}_{s},\omega)]$$

$$P_{SR}'(\vec{r}_{g}',\vec{r}_{s}',\omega) = \int_{\text{sources}} dS \,\vec{\hat{n}} \cdot$$
(13)  

$$[P_{R}'(\vec{r}_{g}',\vec{r},\omega)\nabla G_{0}^{+}(\vec{r},\vec{r}_{s}',\omega) - G_{0}^{+}(\vec{r},\vec{r}_{s}',\omega)\nabla P_{R}'(\vec{r}_{g}',\vec{r},\omega)]$$

Over/under receivers Over/under sources

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

#### Equation 12 over/under receivers but only one source if away from notches, using reciprocity and the free surface as another measurement

#### References I

Zhang, Jingfeng. Wave theory based data preparation for inverse scattering multiple removal, depth imaging and parameter estimation: analysis and numerical tests of Green's theorem deghosting theory. PhD thesis, University of Houston, 2007.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト